TWO PASSAGES IN THE BIRDS OF ARISTOPHANES1)

Ι. 434-6: ἄγε δὴ σὰ καὶ σὰ τὴν πανοπλίαν μὲν πάλιν ταύτην λαβόντε κρεμάσατον τύχαγαθη είς τὸν ἰπνὸν εἴσω πλησίον τοὐπιστάτου.

Here the Hoopoe orders two attendants to remove the improvised 'weapons' (cooking-pot, saucers and spit) and, perhaps not inappropriately, to 'take them and hang them at the hearth indoors πλησίον τοὐπιστάτου'. Clearly this last object must be something we could expect to find in an Athenian kitchen, and three interpretations have traditionally been offered²): (i) that it is a pot-stand; (ii) a clay figure of Hephaestus (as superintendent of the kitchen fire); and (iii) a wooden stand with pegs for hanging up utensils. Evidence can be adduced to support (i)³), and (ii) is prima facie possible. I should reject (iii) however, on the grounds that one does not hang something up *near* (but *on*) a peg.

In attempting to assess the relative merits of (i) and (ii) we

may find help in *Peace* 729–32:

ήμεῖς δὲ τέως τάδε τὰ σκεύη παραδόντες τοῖς ἀχολούθοις δῶμεν σώζειν, ὡς εἰώθασι μάλιστα περὶ τὰς σκηνὰς πλεῖστοι κλέπται κυπτάζειν καὶ κακοποιεῖν. άλλὰ φυλάττετε ταῦτ' ἀνδρείως.

Here we have clear evidence for the pilfering of stage properties. Can it be that Aristophanes is making the same allusion in *Birds?* If so, the Hoopoe is instructing the attendants to hang the 'weapons' up 'near the superintendent', that is to say, near the stage manager who can then keep an eye on his props and protect them from light-fingered loiterers. The point is all the more pungent if, in the culinary context, ἐπιστάτης is Hephaestus the Superintendent⁴).

¹⁾ I should like to thank Mr. K.H.Lee for much helpful criticism of this paper.

 ²⁾ See the Souda, s.v. ἐπιστάτης.
3) See B. A. Sparkes, J.H.S. LXXXII (1962), 127, with footnote 56. 4) If, on the other hand, the reference is not to the 'superintendent',

II. 904–954: in particular the text of 953 where the mss. vary between $\pi o \lambda \acute{v} \pi o \varrho a$ and $\pi o \lambda \acute{v} \sigma \pi o \varrho a$. I wish to argue that, for reasons that revolve around an elaborate lisping pun, $\pi o \lambda \acute{v} \sigma \pi o \varrho a$ is unquestionably the adjective which we should expect Aristo-

phanes to put in the mouth of our frigid lyric poet.

The editors of both the OCT and Budé read $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} \pi o \varrho a$, no doubt reasoning that $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} \sigma \pi o \varrho a$ is inappropriate in this context: it is certainly not immediately evident why the poet should refer to the *fertility* of the region, though he may reasonably enough allude to its extent⁵). What he is most concerned with, however, is the icy coldness of the area and the consequent need for protective clothing. I believe that this latter point is best brought out by $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} \sigma \pi o \varrho a$.

For I suggest that our poet is portrayed as afflicted with a prominent lisp, a lisp of the 'Chinese' type now known as 'lambdacism', which manifests itself in the mispronunciation of 'r' as 'l'. That Aristophanes was not unaware of this form of speech impediment, or of the possibilities of its humorous exploitation, is evident from Wasps 44-45, where the pun turns on Alcibiades' $\tau \rho av \lambda \delta \tau \eta \varsigma^6$:

εἶτ' 'Αλκιβιάδης εἶπε πρός με τραυλίσας: "όλᾳς; Θέωλος τὴν κεφαλὴν κόλακος ἔχει."

It is also possible that Plato similarly exploits this well-known trademark of Alcibiades: in the Symposium?) Alcibiades begins his encomium of Socrates by comparing him to $\tau o i c$ $\sigma i \lambda \eta v o i c$ $\tau o i c$ i v $\tau o i c$ i c i v i c

then, of course τ où π i σ ta τ o τ o τ u σ va τ or derive from $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ i σ ta τ o τ or $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ i σ ta τ o τ or (see L.S.J.* s.vv.).

⁵⁾ πολύπορα must surely mean 'with many paths', not, as L.S.J., 'furnishing abundant harvests.'

⁶⁾ Cf. Plutarch Alc. 1.6, where Plutarch comments on the lisping charm of the son of Cleinias: and *ibid* 1.8, where, in a quotation from Archippus (fr. 45) we are told that Alcibiades' son deliberately affected the same mannerism of speaking as his father.

^{7) 215}A-216A. See W.B. Stanford, CR 56 (1942), 72. 8) Stanford, art. cit.

In our present passage Aristophanes more than prepares the audience for such a joke. Consider the superabundance of liquids from the moment of the poet's entry: Nεφελοκοκνυγίαν ... κλῆσον (904–5); μελιγλώσσων (907), θεφάπων ὀτοηρός (909; 912–13); μέλη ... κύκλιά τε πολλὰ καὶ καλὰ καὶ παρθένεια (917–19); πάλαι, πάλαι δὴ τήνδ' ἐγὰ κλήζω πόλιν (921) and so on. This accumulation of lambdacistic potential leads up to the punch-line: νιφόβολα πεδία πολύσπορά τ' ἤλυθον. The poet has come to beg for raiment and, unlike the recipient of Hiero's favours 9), he has not been dismissed ἀκλεής. He wins both σπολάς and χιτών, and, as he departs, praises the plains of Cloudcuckootown: he has found them not only chilly and νιφόβολα, but πολύσπολα¹⁰), 'rich in jerkins'!

A close inspection of his parting words – there is at least one liquid in virtually every word – reveals how cleverly Aristophanes rams home his lambdacistic point:

κλῆσον, ὧ χουσόθοονε, τὰν τοομεράν, κουεράν νιφόβολα πεδία πολύσπορά τ' ἤλυθον. 'Αλαλαί.

One may perhaps be forgiven – especially in view of the date of the play 11) in relation to the exploits of Alcibiades in the Sicilian Expedition – for suspecting that, at the very least, Aristophanes intended us to call to mind the somewhat eccentric $\sigma\tau\varrho\alpha\tau\eta\gamma\delta\varsigma$. Is it just conceivable that the lyric poet is Alcibiades? 12)

Monash University, Australia Alan S. Henry

⁹⁾ Pindar, fr. 94 (Bowra).

¹⁰⁾ Certainly in itself a vox nihili of unacceptable formation, but surely admissible in the guise of a pun on πολύσπορα. As for the metre, 951 seems best taken as a dochmiac dimeter in which the first element of the second metron scans long:

 $å\lambda a\lambda al$ (accepting Bentley's emendation) is extra metrum. (950 is dactyloepitrite of the form e-Dd²).

¹¹⁾ Produced at the City Dionysia of 414, and presumably therefore written in 415.

¹²⁾ This is not, of course, to maintain that the *Birds* is a 'political' play: it is simply another sample of Aristophanes' fondness for making fun of a noticeable characteristic of a prominent citizen.