ARMED CAMPS IN PROPERTIUS

This is E. A. Butler's Oxford text (1960) of Prop. 2.13.1-2:

Non tot Achaemeniis armatur † etrusca† sagittis spicula quot nostro pectore fixit Amor.

His critical note on the hexameter reads: "armatur NF1 PV: armantur F3 DVo.: armatus Housman etrusca] Eruthra Housman: Persa Barber: (armantur) Susa cod. Pici Mirandulani teste Beroaldo, Volscus." W. R. Smyth records another dozen conjectures on etrusca, including Pontanus' Itura, in his Thesaurus Criticus ad Sexti Properti Textum (Leiden 1970), p. 47. I wish to propose one more.

Housman¹) thought well of (armantur) Susa, which he called the "best conjecture yet proposed," citing Luc. 2.49, Achaemeniis decurrant Medica Susis / agmina, but it is not clear why Susa should have been lost to etrusca. Of Itura, Housman's opinion again is worth recalling: "many read Itura, which they mean for Iturea; but since they do not know whether this figment of theirs is fem. sing. or neut. plur. they cannot tell which form of the verb [-antur/-atur] to choose;" R. Hanslik printed armatur Itura in his Teubner text (Leipzig 1979). Housman's own

¹⁾ Journ. Phil. 21 (1893) 118 (= The Classical Papers of A. E. Housman, ed. J. Diggle and F. D. R. Goodyear, Vol. I [Cambridge 1972] pp. 244-45).

Miszellen 96

conjecture, armatus Eruthra, is close to MSS armatur etrusca, but his plea for the introduction of a mythical king, who allegedly gave his name to the Red Sea and was buried on the island of Ogyris (Strabo 766), is a tall order indeed²).

I would suggest that the manuscripts' Etrusca is an unremarkable correction of a received trasca, and that this trasca was a corruption of castra³). Propertius wrote:

Non tot Achaemeniis armantur castra sagittis

"Not with so many arrows are the camps of Persians armed..." For the transference of the proper adjective, one may compare 4.3.45, Romanis utinam patuissent castra puellis.

Pennsylvania State University

Archibald Allen

2) H. E. Butler printed armatur Erythra in his Loeb edition (1912). For further discussion of the various conjectures, see J.-P. Boucher, Rev. de. Phil. 35 (1961) 232-40. He himself proposed: Non tot Achaemeniis armat Gortyna sagittis/ spicula, "Non, sur des flêches achéméniennes Gortyna n' aime pas autant de pointes que l'amour en a enfoncé dans mon coeur;" MSS etrusca then is the remnant of a gloss, V(rbs) Etrusca, on Cortona < Gortyna. For criticism, see P. J. Enk, who elicited from Boucher the translation quoted above and published it in his Leiden commentary (1962) ad loc., with this concluding comment: "intellexerim sagittas spiculis armare, sed non credo spicula sagittis armare significare posse id quod v.d. Boucher sibi velit." The same criticism may be applied to R. Verdière's defence of armantur Etrusca (Riv. di Stud. Class. 13 [1965] 23-25); he would translate: "Non, les flêches étrusques ne sont pas autant hérissées de pointes achéméniennes que l'Amour n'en a fichées dans mon coeur."

3) For this sort of corruption, see Housman, Journ. Phil. 18 (1890) 17-18 (= Classical Papers, pp. 148-49); among other examples, he discusses the miscopying of et nigras as integras at Prop. 3.5.24. The presumed corruption of castra to trasca will have been facilitated by the common confusion of c and t in minuscule scripts, and the "emendation" of trasca to Etrusca may have owed something to Propertius' use of the adjective Etruscus at 1.21.2, 10; 1.22.6; 2.1.29; 3.9.1; Tuscus occurs at 4.2.3, 49, 50.

ISSN 0035-449 X

Schriftleiter: Akad. Oberrat Dr. Klaus Schöpsdau, Institut für Klassische Philologie Universität des Saarlandes, 6600 Saarbrücken Druckerei: Laupp & Göbel, Nehren

Verlag: J. D. Sauerländer, Frankfurt am Main

Manuskripte sind (in Maschinenschrift) an Prof. Dr. Carl Werner Müller, Institut für Klassische Philologie, Universität des Saarlandes, 6600 Saarbrücken, einzusenden. Printed in Germany · © J. D. Sauerländer's Verlag, Frankfurt a. M. 1992