EUNAPIUS, *VITAE PHIL.* XXIII 3.15 (GIANGRANDE)

...προσετίθει [sc. ὁ Χουσάνθιος] καθ' ήμέραν τῷ διαφέροντι τῆς εὐνοίας, εἰς τοῦτο ἐκνικήσας, ὥστε τὰ ἑωθινὰ μὲν ὁ συγγραφεὺς [sc. ὁ Εὐνάπιος] ἐπὶ ὁητορικοῖς λόγοις ἑτέροις συνῆν, καὶ τοὺς δεομένους ἐπαίδευεν, μικρὸν δὲ ὑπὲρ μεσημβρίας ἐπαιδεύετο, παρὰ τὸν ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἰὼν διδάσκαλον, τοὺς θειοτέ-

ρους καὶ φιλοσόφους τῶν λόγων.

Eunapius is describing his routine in Sardis after returning from Athens, where he had studied under the sophist Proaeresius. Upon returning and becoming a teacher of rhetoric himself, Eunapius began to study philosophy with his old schoolmaster Chrysanthius, who had studied philosophy under Aedesius, a pupil of Iamblichus (cf. Vitae VI 1.6; X 1.2 ff., 8.3; XXIII 1.1). Although the phrase έτέροις συνῆν makes sense, I would propose that it is a corruption of έταίροις συνην. The word έταῖρος commonly means "pupil". Examples of this sense of the word may be found in Eunapius's Vitae at V 1.6, 1.13, 1.14, 2.5, 2.6, 2.8; VI 1.1; VII 1.14; VIII 1.5, 1.8; IX 1.5, 2.14, 2.21. Note especially V 1.6, τὰ δὲ πλεῖστα τοῖς ἑταίροις συνῆν, and – without the article – VIII 1.4, ὅτε ἑταίροις καὶ ὁμιληταῖς συνην). Curiously, W. C. Wright in her Loeb edition of the Vitae translates "in the early morning [he] used to give his time to his own pupils" [my italics], though she did not challenge the transmitted ετέροις. The antithesis in this passage - of δητορικοῖς λόγοις and τοὺς θειστέρους ... τῶν λόγων and of ἐπαίδευεν and ἐπαιδεύετο – is extended by my conjecture, which makes τὸν . . . διδάσκαλον answer to εταίροις.

Fordham University, Bronx, N.Y.

Robert J. Penella

than Niedermann's. It is often difficult to say just what caused a given development in a medial consonant cluster. It is not at all certain that Italic, Celtic and Germanic had an interstage *ts for *t-t (though it is likely for Albanian), but if so it seems that *ts did not result from adaptation to permissible syllable initials and finals (i. e. *ts/t), as Balto-Slavic and Iranian st (i. e. *t/st) may have done. And in either of these cases str and tr are equally acceptable in an early IE language as a syllable initial. It therefore seems to me that *tst > ss results rather from a complex assimilation, and in that case there is no a priori reason why this same assimilation should not have applied also to *tstr. If in the latter a t finally appears before r, this is not a conservation of the old *t selectively preserved, but a fresh phonetic event, e.g. the familiar result of poor articulatory phasing or transitional misperception. In any case, the Latin rule resulted: dental $+ tr \rightarrow str$.

I must thank my friend Johann Knobloch for having forced me to think further about the behaviour of these interesting Latin consonant clusters.

1) I am grateful to Ivars and Miriam Avotins for providing these references. The expression ἑταίροις καὶ ὁμιληταῖς is an *isodynamon*, also occurring at Vitae V 1.6; for *isodynama* in Eunapius, see J. C. Vollebregt, Symbola in novam Eunapii Vitarum editionem (1929) 72.