All that is required to heal this notorious crux is a possessive with the indicated metrical value (the obvious ols is unsatisfactory: F. Vian, *REA* 72, 1970, 95–6), since it is impossible to fault either δαίνσθαι or ἐν ἐπιτείλας (cf. 1187–90 with II. 10.72–4, and Ardizzoni’s note here). Read therefore ἐτάφοις ἔθεν (cf. Ap. 4.1471); this same conjecture was made independently by my friend J. G. Howie of Edinburgh. ἔθεν was glossed with ols, which subsequently replaced ἔθεν in the text of Ω, only to be swallowed up in the sequence ἐταφοίσωσι.