έν προτέροισι πόδεσσι κύων ἔχε ποικίλον ἐλλόν ἀσπαίροντα λάων τὸ δὲ θαυμάζεσκον ἅπαντες ὡς οἱ χρύσεοι ἐόντες ὁ μὲν λάε νεβρὸν ἀπάγχων, αὐτὰρ ὁ ἐκφυγέειν μεμαὼς ἤσπαιρε πόδεσσι.

No less a critic-philosopher of art than E. H. Gombrich has referred to this description of Odysseus' gold brooch as an incomparable example of "interaction between narrative intent and pictorial realism." Yet he hazards no opinion on how this scene actually looked, arguing instead that "it matters more how it was seen."<sup>1</sup>) Narrative and pictorial interaction is indeed a point one might consider; however, neither it nor any grasp of the actual appearance of the brooch itself may be conjectured until one considers carefully not "how it was seen" but "how sight functions therein." What is transpiring between hound and fawn? The problem lies with the verb  $\lambda \dot{a}\omega$ .

Learned inquiry into the meaning of  $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$  in Odyssey Nineteen has been extensive but inconclusive. Three definitions have been suggested: 1) 'gripping, devouring' 2) 'barking, crying' 3) 'gazing, seeing.'2) C. Mutzbauer, Griechische Tempuslehre (1893), I. 162-163; N. Wecklein, "Textkritische Studien zur Odyssee," SBAW 7 (1915), 20; K. Meister, Die Homerische Kunstsprache (1921), 74; W. Stanford, The Odyssey of Homer (1948), II. 326; LfgrE (1955), s. v. ayxw; A. Wace & F. Stubbings, A Companion to Homer (1962), 500 support the first translation. C. Lobeck, 'Pηματικόν (1846), 6; L. Doederlein, Homerisches Glossarium (1858), III. 211; A. Fick Wörterbuch (1890), I. 119f.; F. Bechtel, Lexilogus (1914), 27; M. Leumann, Homerische Wörter (1950), 233 f.; F. Brein, Der Hirsch in der griechischen Frühzeit (1969), 184 support the second translation. L. Radermacher, "Der homerische Hermeshymnus," SAWW (1931), 139; A. Prévot, "Verbes grecs relatifs à la vision et noms de l'oeil," RPh 3. ix (1935), 251; P.Chantraine, Grammaire homérique (1958), 355; indirectly through later usage, R. McCail, "AA Q: Two Testimonia in Later Greek Poetry" CO N. S. 20 (1970), 306-308 support the third.<sup>3</sup>)

<sup>1)</sup> E.H.Gombrich, Art and Illusion (1960), 132-133. For other archeological and art historical considerations see W.Stanford, The Odyssey of Homer (1948), on  $\tau$  19. 225 f.

<sup>2)</sup> See R. McCail, CQ N.S. 20 (1970), 306.

<sup>3)</sup> See also Hsch. s. v.  $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega v$ , Sch. V B on  $\tau$  229.

É. Boisacq, Dictionnaire (1923), s. v.  $\lambda d\omega$  makes no definitive judgement on the passage, noting instead that the ancients were divided between 'gazing' and 'barking' while the moderns tended to support 'gripping.' Frisk also refers to the important, although somewhat intricate, attempt of Leumann to explain the shifting of the verb's definition in terms of a newly-formed present from the perfect  $\lambda \ell \lambda \eta \varkappa \alpha$ ; he contends that it was the cry of a bird of prey that was transferred to another hunting animal, the hound (235-236).

Leumann's conclusions, especially insofar as he must deny the etymological connection between  $\lambda \dot{a} \omega$  'to look' and  $\dot{a} \lambda a \dot{a} \dot{a}$ 'blind' (236), are unhappy. The obvious solution is to explain this passage in terms of sight and to make  $\lambda \dot{a} \omega$ , if not exactly, at least somewhat, parallel in meaning to the clearly attested "sight" of the eagle in *h. Herm.* 360 ( $a\dot{a}\epsilon\tau \dot{o}_{s} \dot{o}_{s} \dot{v} \lambda \dot{a} \omega v \dot{e}\sigma \varkappa \dot{e}\psi a \tau o$ ). Prévot's argument is good as far as it goes: he notes the verb's relationship to skr. *lásati* 'to shine' and 'to desire' (Gr.  $\lambda \iota \lambda a \dot{a} \mu \mu u$  'to desire strongly'); he leans heavily on the verb's adjunct meaning of 'to gaze with an evil eye' ( $\dot{a} \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \tau \omega \rho$ ,  $\ddot{a} \lambda a \sigma \tau \sigma \zeta$ ); he argues vis à vis  $\tau$  229 that  $\lambda \dot{a} \omega$  "exprime dans ce passage le 'regard lancé par l'ennemi vainqueur à son adversaire pour le subjuger'''; he closes by denying any essential difference in usage between  $\tau$  229 and *h. Her.* 360 (249-250).

Two passages in Homer concerning the ways of fawns must be brought as additional evidence to support the translation of 'gazing, seeing' in  $\tau$  229 and to suggest what kind of gaze or sight transpires between hound and fawn. Both stand in the context of warriors dazed by battle or slaughter:  $\Delta$  243 ( $\tau i \varphi \theta$ ' ούτως έστητε τεθηπότες ή τε νεβροί – "Why do you stand thus struck in wonder like fawns?") and  $\Phi$  29 (tovic  $\xi\xi\eta\gamma\varepsilon$   $\theta\eta\omega\alpha\zeta\varepsilon$ τεθηπότας ήΰτε νεβρούς – "He [Achilles] led them forth struck in wonder like fawns"). Homer evidently was aware of a deer's capacity for being struck into a kind of inactivity that does not preclude physical movement. (Those so inclined would speak, no doubt, of a kind of hypnotism in such a context.)  $\Delta$  243 refers to unheroic inactivity at the sight of war;  $\Phi$  29, to unheroic inactivity at the sight of the vengeful Achilles. So in the brooch of Odysseus does the hound strike the fawn into a certain inactivity through the agency of his gaze. The fawn may move, nay even struggle, but he is, nevertheless, caught. So too, just as in the two Iliadic passages the fawns "stand struck with wonder," are those who gaze at the brooch "continually awonder" ( $\theta a v \mu \dot{a}$ -

 $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \kappa \sigma \nu$ ). Homer has extrapolated an internal condition between hound and fawn to an external one between viewer and broach.<sup>4</sup>) Gombrich in his visual approach to this ornament is, hence, slightly off the mark.

I should suggest the following translation for the passage: In his front paws the hound held a dappled fawn,

- Gazing hard at it as it struggled to get away. All were continually amazed
- How, both being gold, while [the hound] continually gazed [imperfect] at the fawn and seized it tightly [in its paws],<sup>5</sup>)
- The [fawn] on the other hand struggled convulsively with its feet and strove to flee.<sup>6</sup>)

Stanford

## Raymond A. Prier

4) A similar type of "experiential ecphrasis" could be argued from Il. 3. 121-131 and Od. 11. 601-614. In the former Iris fetches Helen who is weaving a great tapestry of the war between the Trojans and Achaeans. Iris tells her to come look upon the wonderous works of the war itself: iva θέσκελα έργα  $i\delta\eta a\iota$  (Il. 3. 130). The external, wonderous works are extrapolated from the art object. In Od. 11, Heracles among the shades goes about with his bare bow and strung arrow, "shooting forth terrible glances" (γυμνόν τόξον έχων καί έπι νευρηφιν διστόν, / δεινόν παπταίνων - Od. 11. 607-608). But to what is this glance directed? Nothing in the world of shades but most certainly at an extrapolation from that work of art, that "awful sword-belt about his chest" ( $\sigma\mu\epsilon\varrho\delta\alpha\lambda\epsilono\varsigma\delta\epsilon$  of  $d\mu\varphi\lambda$   $\pi\epsilon\varrho\lambda$  $\sigma\tau\eta\theta\epsilon\sigma\sigma w$   $dog\tau\eta\varrho - Od.$  11. 609). Therein are "wonderous things fashioned" (iva  $\vartheta \acute{e}\sigma \varkappa \epsilon \lambda a \acute{e}g \gamma a \tau \acute{e}\tau \upsilon \varkappa \tau o - Od.$  11. 610); these are a variety of beasts and men at whom he aimed when in another world. So perhaps one might approach the greatest ecphrasis in Homer - the Shield of Achilles. See W. Schadewaldt, Von Homers Welt und Werk (Stuttgart 1951), 357f.; W. Marg, "Homer über die Dichtung," Orbis Antiquus 11 (1957), 20f.; K. Reinhardt, Die Ilias und ihr Dichter (Göttingen 1961), 401f.; J. Kakridis, Homer Revisited (Lund 1971), 108-137.

5) 'Anáyzw in this instance does not mean 'to strangle, throttle with the jaws' or necessarily 'at the throat', although ayzw does mean 'to squeeze' and appears in Homer as a hapax in context with the throat,  $ayzw \mu w i\mu ag$  $in \delta \delta \epsilon ug \eta v \Gamma 371 (L & S, s. v. ayzw)$ . 'Ayzw has, one must note however, an exact equivalent in L. ango 'to narrow, confine,' see also aind. amhu-'narrow,' got. aggwus, arm. anju-k (Frisk, Wörterbuch, s. v. ayzw, Boisacq, Dictionnaire, s. v. ayzw). The hound is narrowing the fawn's movements by holding firm to it not with his jaws but with the  $\pi \delta \delta \varepsilon \sigma t$  (228). The scene is admittedly somewhat stylized. The question whether the paws are about the neck or some other closely related part of the fawn's body is moot.

6) I should like to thank W. Beck of the LfgrE for helpful consultation with certain questions arising in this note. Also thanks are due to the von Humboldt Stiftung for supplying the needed time for research.