Editors have been strangely reluctant to admit ὀμήν, the uncorrected reading of L. Almost without exception¹ they have accepted the correction ὄργην, and then, to remove the apparent contradiction thus arising from Teiresias' words in 337–8, have been obliged to take ὄργην as 'stubborn temper' or the like. Granting the notorious confusion between ὄργη and ὀμή in mss. tradition I suggest that here if anywhere we have a classic case of lectio difficilior potior.

References to anger abound in this passage: apart from 335 and 339 see also 344–5 and 364. If then we read ὄργην (and grant that ὄργάνειας is transitive) surely in the sequence ὄργην – ὄργιζον – ὀμήν the meaning can be nothing but 'anger'. But this clearly will not fit in with 337–8.

Booth's² solution to the problem was to make ὀμήν intransitive and to construe πέτρον φύσιν as an internal accusative (= 'you must have an ὄργη of very rock'). This, to say the least, is somewhat tortuous, and the aorist tense — as Booth fully realised — tells against it. Although we cannot adduce a parallel for the transitive usage of ὄργαιν it is a well-known fact that many verbs in -αινω (and in -εύω and -ώω) may be either transitive or intransitive³). If so, the words καὶ γὰρ κτλ.

¹) L. Roussel in his edition of the play (Paris 1940) seems to be the only exception. However, his interpretation differs from mine in two important respects: (1) Roussel would not agree that ὄργην, if correct, must mean 'anger'. Although he prefers ὀμήν, he considers ὄργην a possible reading 'à cause du sens, assez large, de ὄργη'; (2) he takes ὀμήν as 'tendances naturelles', a quite different and, I would suggest, much less appropriate, interpretation than the one I offer below.


³) Cf. Oed. Col. 1282 for a transitive use of the normally intransitive ὄσχεγαλνω.
explain, as they would seem naturally to do so, why Oedipus is driven to call Teiresias ὁ κακῶν κάκιστε: ‘for you would anger a very stone’

Oedipus then asks if Teiresias intends to remain impervious to his pleas for information, to which Teiresias replies (reading ὑμιὴν): ‘you blame my desire (to keep silent) but you do not see clearly the one that dwells with you’ (the desire to find out the truth, with the undoubted double entendre ‘the female one who lives together with you’). Teiresias is not, of course, retorting to Oedipus’ charge that he has roused him to angry words: he is replying to the charge of being hard-hearted and evasive.

At 339 Oedipus’ repeated reference to his anger is not, as Booth would have it, ‘a very weak repetition of the idea already expressed more forcibly in lines 334–5’, but an attempt to justify his censure of Teiresias, about which Teiresias has just complained. It is anger which has driven Oedipus to call Teiresias ἄτεγκτος κάτελευτητος.

It would therefore seem unobjectionable in principle to take ὅργανειας as transitive and to remove any reference to Teiresias’ anger at 337. In fact, I suggest that a closer examination of this passage and its immediate context will show beyond any reasonable doubt that Teiresias keeps his temper under control until perhaps 350 with its sarcastic ἄληθες. He shows no sign of anger – the meaning which I maintain ὑμιὴ would have to carry at 337 – only a resigned determination to maintain silence regardless of the unfounded charges of Oedipus.
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ON DIOG. LAERT. X. 73

Diogenes Laertius X 73 (Epicuri Epistula ad Herodotum):

ἐπὶ τε τοὺς προειρημένους τοὺς κόσμους δεῖ καὶ πάσαν σύγχυσιν πεπερασμένην τὸ ὁμοίως τοῖς θεωρομένοις πυκνῶς ἔχουσαν νομίζειν γεγονέναι ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀπειροῦ....

4) ‘Stone’, not ‘rock’: see Kamerbeek Oedipus Tyrannus (The Plays of Sophocles IV), 89.

5) For this usage of ὑμιὴ cf. e.g. Philoctetes 236–7: τις προσήγαγεν χελα; τις ὑμιὴ; (principally τι βουλόμενος), and Plato Phil. 33d τὴν τε ὑμίὴν καὶ ἐπιθυμίαν. Cf. also Hesychius s.v. ὑμίη, βουλή, ἐπιθυμία.
4) 'Stone', not 'rock': see Kamerbeek Oedipus Tyrannus (The Plays of Sophocles IV), 89.

Oedipus then asks if Teiresias intends to remain impervious to his pleas for information, to which Teiresias replies (reading ὅμηρος): ‘you blame my desire’ (to keep silent) but you do not see clearly the one that dwells with you’ (the desire to find out the truth, with the undoubted double entendre ‘the female one who lives together with you’). Teiresias is not, of course, retorting to Oedipus’ charge that he has roused him to angry words: he is replying to the charge of being hard-hearted and evasive.

At 339 Oedipus’ repeated reference to his anger is not, as Booth would have it, ‘a very weak repetition of the idea already expressed more forcibly in lines 334–5’, but an attempt to justify his censure of Teiresias, about which Teiresias has just complained. It is anger which has driven Oedipus to call Teiresias ἀπεγκυςτος κατελεύθητος.

It would therefore seem unobjectionable in principle to take ὁγγύειας as transitive and to remove any reference to Teiresias’ anger at 337. In fact, I suggest that a closer examination of this passage and its immediate context will show beyond any reasonable doubt that Teiresias keeps his temper under control until perhaps 350 with its sarcastic ἄληθες. He shows no sign of anger – the meaning which I maintain ὅμηρος would have to carry at 337 – only a resigned determination to maintain silence regardless of the unfounded charges of Oedipus.
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ON DIOG. LAERT. X. 73

Diogenes Laertius X 73 (Epicuri Epistula ad Herodotum):
ἐπὶ τε τοῖς προσφιμένοις τοῦς κόμωμος δεῖ καὶ πᾶσαν σύγκωσιν πεπερασμένην τὸ ὁμοιώδες τοῖς θεωρομένοις πυκνώς ἐξουσαν νομίζειν γεγονέναι ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀπείρου…..

4) ‘Stone’, not ‘rock’: see Kamerbeek Oedipus Tyrannus (The Plays of Sophocles IV), 89.

5) For this usage of ὅμηρος cf. e.g. Philoctetes 236–7: τίς προσῆγον χελα; τίς ὅμηρ; (pálmest τι ἐνεδίῳνος), and Plato Phil. 33 d τῆν τε ὅμηρον καὶ ἐπιθυμίαν. Cf. also Hesychius s.v. ὅμηρος, βουλή, ἐπιθυμία.