## A FRAGMENT OF ALCAEUS IN SENECA?

nam sive Graeco poetae credimus "aliquando et insanire iucundum est" eqs. (Seneca Dial. 9. 17. 10)

The words cited above appear in a discussion of the thesis that nonnumquam et usque ad ebrietatem veniendum, non ut mergat nos, sed ut deprimat. No attempt, to my knowledge, has been made to identify this Graecus poeta in Seneca. In considering this problem three passages from Horace's Odes are of much importance:

recepto	
dulce mihi furere est amico	(2. 7. 27-8)
insanire iuvat	(3. 19. 18)
dulce est desipere in loco	(4. 12. 28)

Each example has two things in common: it is a) a sweet or pleasant thing b) to be mad. Examination of the contexts reveals a third similarity: in each instance the "madness" meant is intoxication. In Ode 2. 7 Horace is celebrating the safe return from war of an old army companion, Pompeius. A banquet therefore, is the order of the day; preparations are made and the poem ends: Quem Venus arbitrum/dicet bibendi? non ego sanius | bacchabor Edonis: recepto | dulce mihi furere est amico. Ode 3. 19 introduces Horace at a banquet in the capacity of συμποσίαρχος; after stating the correct proportions to be observed in mixing the wine and water he then exclaims insanire invat! Finally, Ode 4.12 announces the return of spring; Horace invites Vergil (the poet?) to celebrate the occasion by sharing a cask of good wine with him. The poem ends misce stultitiam consiliis brevem: | dulce est desipere in loco. The three-fold agreement in these three passages is no accident; Horace has given thrice the same thought in different words. What is the source? For Odes 3. 19 and 4. 12 no original is known. Ode 2. 7, however, - a poem composed in *Alcaics* – is modelled on a still partially preserved piece by Alcaeus (frg. 50 Diehl); in this poem Alcaeus celebrates the return of his brother Antimenidas from war, just as Horace celebrates the return of Pompeius. Another "drinking" ode, the famous nunc est bibendum (1. 37), is also modelled on Alcaeus, namely on his poem celebrating the death of the tyrant Myrsilus: νῦν χρή μεθύσθην καί τίνα πέρ βίαν / πώνην, ἐπειδή κάτθανε Μύρσιλος (frg. 39 Diehl). Again, Ode 1. 9, with its call for wine, is partially copied from Alcaeus frg. 90 Diehl. Alcaeus' qu'a is copiously illustrated by Athenaeus 10. 430 a sq. and in general it seems very likely that he is Horace's source<sup>1</sup>).

To return to Seneca; here the complete agreement with Horace is striking: Seneca's *insanire* corresponds to Horace's *furere* | *insanire* | *desipere*; *incundum est* answers to *dulce est* | *inwat*; and finally, the reference, as in Horace, is specifically to *Bacchic µavla*. It does not seem that such close agreement can be accidental and yet we know that Seneca is not borrowing directly from Horace: as he himself tells us, he is quoting a *Graecus poeta*. The agreement between the two Latin authors therefore is probably to be explained by assuming a common source. We have seen that it was likely that Horace's source was Alcaeus; it is thus quite possible that Alcaeus was Seneca's Miszelle

source as well. The words *aliquando et insanire incundum est* deserve to be printed as a doubtful fragment, in Latin dress<sup>2</sup>), of the great Aeolic poet<sup>3</sup>). (The *et* [= "even"] is no doubt part of the quotation and should be noticed.) It was for similar reasons – the intoxication theme and the Alcaic metre – that Bergk ascribed to Alcaeus the anonymous fragment preserved by Demetrius Laco, *περί ποιημάτων β* col. xxx in *Vol. Hercul. Oxon.* I. 122, an ascription which has been generally accepted by later editors<sup>4</sup>).

Boston College

Robert Renehan

1) Some commentators compare the Anacreontea 8 (Bergk) where the expression  $\vartheta \epsilon \lambda \omega \, \vartheta \epsilon \lambda \omega \, \mu a \nu \tilde{\eta} \nu a \iota$  occurs several times. This cannot be Horace's source ( $\mu a \ell \nu \epsilon \sigma \vartheta a \iota$  is hardly decisive, since it is not unusual to find this verb used of intoxication in Greek); there is nothing in Horace corresponding to  $\vartheta \epsilon \lambda \omega \, \vartheta \epsilon \lambda \omega \, a n$  onthing in the Anacr. corresponding to  $\vartheta a \ell \lambda \omega \, a \ell \lambda \omega \, a n$  nothing in the Anacr. corresponding to  $\vartheta a \ell \lambda \omega \, a \ell \lambda \omega \, a \ell \lambda \omega \, a \eta$  in the Greek poem (too long to be reproduced here) with its mythological parallels sounds a quite different note. Others compare Menander frg. 354 (Körte) xal  $\sigma \nu \mu \mu a \nu \tilde{\eta} \omega \, \delta \epsilon \tilde{\ell} \nu a \, \delta \epsilon \tilde{\ell}$ . This too is different and is in fact a variant of a common Greek proverb; see my note in CR 13 (1963) 131-2.

2) For another fragment of Alcaeus preserved only in Latin, see Cicero, De Natura Deorum 1. 28. 79: naevos in articulo pueri delectat Alcaeum.

3) It should be noted that Seneca's vague expression *Graeco poetae* implies that both the poet and the quotation were sufficiently well-known to be recognized by his readers without further identification. Obscure poetasters are to be excluded from consideration.

4) Frg. 50 Bergk = frg. 102 Diehl = frg. Z 35 Lobel and Page.