## An unnoticed Greek tragic fragment

In the doxographico-medical work de natura hominis of Meletius Monachus (9th century A.D.?), the etymology of οδλον, "gum," is treated 1); this leads to a discussion of various roots in ουλ-, among them οδλος:

καὶ οδλος ὁ ὀλέθριος, παρὰ τὸ ὀλλύω - ὅλοιτο κεῖνος ἐξ ἀνδρῶν κτλ. 2) The ultimate source for Meletius' etymological passages is the treatise περί ετυμολογιῶν τοῦ σώματος τοῦ ἀνθρώπου by the great Greek physician Soranus, methodicorum princeps, who practised under Trajan and Hadrian. This work was remarkable for its wide learning; even quotations from the poets abounded in it.3) The words cited above (δλοιτο - ἀνδρῶν) are clearly such a quotation; 4) the use of ολλυμι for ἀπόλλυμι and κεῖνος for exervos both belong to poetic vocabulary. The meter is the iambic trimeter (X- ολοιτο κείνος έξ ἀνδρῶν U-), and this suggests the drama. More specifically, we should think of tragedy, since ὅλλυμι is a very common tragic word. It occurs about 50 and 70 times respectively in Aeschylus and Sophocles, and over 200 times in Euripides. 5) By contrast, it appears only twice in Aristophanes (as against almost 200 instances of ἀπόλλυμι) and never in Menander. The index to Meineke's collection of comic fragments cites four instances; of these, one may be corrupt and another is a quotation from Euripides. Furthermore, this use of the aorist middle of öldvui in an imprecation is almost a vox technica of the tragic vocabulary (nine instances in Aeschylus, eleven in Sophocles, and about thirty in Euripides).6)

1) PG 64. 1193 D ff; also in J. Cramer, Anecdota Oxon. III. 82. 29ff.

3) Cf. PW s. v. Soranos, coll. 1117-1118.

5) Exact figures cannot be given because of some doubtful readings;

they are unnecessary for the argument.

<sup>2)</sup> The editors print the impossible form  $\delta\lambda\lambda\omega$ , a corruption that seems due to the immediately preceding  $\delta\lambda\lambda\omega$ ; the agrist is the tense used in such imprecations.

<sup>4)</sup> To my knowledge, the only scholar who discusses these words is Fr. Ritschl (Opuscula Philologica I. 699), who merely observes "Laceri senarii reliquiae videri possunt: ὅλοιτο κεῖνος – U ἐξ ἀνδρῶν U –." It is not clear to me why he divided the words so.

<sup>6)</sup> Professor Bruno Snell has called my attention to the fact that the adjective οὐλόμενος is applied to a person or thing to or of whom it may be said ὅλοιο or ὅλοιτο (cf. J. Classen, Beobachtungen über den Homerischen Sprachgebrauch, p. 6off) and that the oὐ- of this adjective agrees well the oὖ- of οὖλος in Meletius.

186

όλοιτο κείνος ἐξ ἀνδρῶν should, therefore, be accepted as a fragment from some tragedy; the poet must remain anonymous. For the expression, compare II. 18. 107 ὡς ἔρις ἔκ τε θεῶν ἔκ τ'ἀνθρώπων ἀπόλοιτο; LXX Εκ. 30. 38 ὅς ἄν ποιήση ὡσαὐτως... ἀπολεῖται ἐκ τοῦ λαοῦ αὐτοῦ; β. 18. 17 τὸ μνημόσυνον αὐτοῦ ἀπόλοιτο ἐκ γῆς; Prov. 15.6 οἱ δὲ ἀσεβεῖς ὁλόρριζοι ἐκ γῆς ὀλοῦνται; Greg. Naz. PG 38. 104 ὅλοιτ' ὅλοιτο γρυσὸς ἐκ μέσου βροτῶν.?)

The University of California Berkelev

Robert Renehan