## THE WEDDING OF CEYX

The object of these pages is to say what can be said or reasonably conjectured, in the present state of knowledge, about the Hesiodic K $\quad$ vжоs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu$ оs.

It was, we believe, an independent poem, and not an episode forming part of the Catalogue ${ }^{1}$ ) or a piece expanded from or interpolated into the Catalogue ${ }^{2}$ ). These views are based on

 the Wedding of Ceyx on Hesiod". We may compare the words of the scholiast on Pindar Nem. 2. I (iii 29. 12 ff Dr.) about the later

 was not accused (so far as we know) of interpolating lines into Homer's poems, but of passing off spurious poems as Homer's:



That the marriage of Ceyx to Alcyone and their metamorphosis into birds was briefly dealt with in the Catalogue is now shown by P. Oxy. 2483 fr . I col. ii. It came in the section devoted to the daughters of Aeolus. It is to this passage, apparently, that Julian alludes in the passage printed by Rzach as Hes. fr. I59.
 events ${ }^{3}$ ).

[^0]
## I. Heracles left by the Argonauts at Aphetae

The main subject of the poem, or one of the main subjects ${ }^{4}$ ), was the wedding-feast of Ceyx, at which Heracles intervened. We shall consider the testimonies in a moment; but first we must notice a passage in the scholia to Apollonius of Rhodes (1. 1289) about Heracles leaving the Argo at Aphetae on the Pagasaean



 $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \eta \dot{\nu}{ }^{\prime} A \varrho \gamma \dot{\omega}$ vi $\left.\pi \dot{o} \tau 0 \tilde{v} \eta{ }_{\eta} \varrho \omega \varsigma^{5}\right)$. With this passage are to be com-






甲ع́@єเข тò тоv́тоv $\beta$ á@оऽ.

In Pherecydes and Antimachus it is clear that Heracles was left behind deliberately. This must be assumed for the K $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$ кos $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu o s$ too, for it is implicit in the ${ }_{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$ from which in this version (as opposed to Herodotus' version) the name Aphetae was explained ${ }^{6}$ ).
4) It cannot safely be inferred from the title of the poem that it was wholly concerned with the wedding of Ceyx. The "EQva rai ' $H \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \iota$, 'Works and Days', or more accurately 'Jobs and Dates', take their name from one section that occupies less than a third of the poem and another that occupies less than a twelfth of it. The Aspis is a poem about Heracles' encounter with Cycnus; the description of Heracles' shield, which gives the poem its name, again occupies not much more than a third of the whole. So we must bear in mind the possibility that Ceyx's wedding was only one important episode in the whole narrative of the Kívжos $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \circ \varsigma$.


6) The detail that the Argo grumbled at Heracles' weight is scarcely to be attributed to the poem; the scholiast on Apollonius seems not to have known of it in 'Hesiod', or he would not have turned to a different authority for this detail. Another ground for the abandonment of the hero is given by schol. Pind. Pyth. 4. 303, viz. that he was a clumsy oarsman; but this version is attributed to oi vé̈tє@oו, which could only mean Hesiod if it were opposed to Homer.

It is unlikely that what happened at Aphetae was related by Heracles himself at Ceyx's palace ${ }^{7}$ ); for it is hard to imagine how, in this case, the etymology of Aphetae could have been presented. This must have been narrated by the poet in his own person. It appears, then, that Heracles rather than Ceyx was the central character in the poem, and that it did not begin with the wedding at Trachis, but with Heracles leaving the Argo at Aphetae ${ }^{8}$ ). It must be regarded as a member of that group of epics and epyllia that dealt with exploits of Heracles, like the Aspis and the Capture of Oechalia.

## II. Heracles' arrival at the banquet

Ceyx was celebrating his wedding at Trachis, when Heracles intervened. He came unexpected and uninvited, justifying himself with the magnificent words (fr. is 5 Rz.)

The line is preserved by Zenobius 2. 19 and 46 (Paroem. Gr. I 36 and 44). The ascription to the K $\dot{v r o s} \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu$ os is based on a conjecture, but a very probable one. Zenobius says, ovircs ${ }^{\circ} H \varrho \alpha ́ \varkappa \lambda \varepsilon t-$

 عiлóvtos. Schneidewin proposed "Hoiodos in place of "H@á ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \varepsilon \iota-$ тos, which was probably produced by the following name ${ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{H} \varrho \alpha-$ $\varkappa \lambda$ ह́ovs. Bacchylides' name no doubt stood beside Hesiod's in the original; for we know from another source that he used a dac-tylo-epitritic adaptation of the verse in an identical context:



 $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma^{\prime \prime}$ (Bacchylides fr. 4. 21-25 Snell ${ }^{8}$ ). Against the hypothesis that Zenobius named only Bacchylides is the hexameter form in which he quotes the saying. This form is at least as old as the fifth century, for it is comically perverted by Eupolis, fr. 289 Kock,


[^1]
 av̉тópato $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta o i^{9}$ ). The scholiast on the Plato passage (p. ${ }^{6} 6$ Greene) notes that the proverb concerns Heracles, $\dagger$ © ó $\bar{\tau} \varepsilon$ عi $\sigma$ $\tau \iota \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau o \tau \tilde{\omega} \iota K \dot{\eta} v \varkappa \iota \dagger \xi \bar{\varepsilon} v o v \varsigma ~ \tilde{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$. On the strength of the Bacchylides parallel, as well as the explicit statement of Zenobius, we can confidently put the verse in Heracles' mouth in the K $\dot{\eta} v$ wos $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \varsigma^{10}{ }^{10}$. In Cratinus and Plato too it is said by the guests.

Rzach and others have placed another fragment here, that cited by schol. A on Iliad $\Xi_{119}$ (fr. 156 Rz .):

$$
i \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \delta^{\circ} i \pi \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \tilde{\eta} v \xi \not: .
$$

They assumed that it came from a verse describing how Ceyx saw Heracles and greeted him. This is a possibility that must be admitted. But we must bear in mind that $K \tilde{\eta} v \xi$ is here written by conjecture: the manuscript gives $\varkappa \tilde{\eta} \varrho v \xi$. The fragment may have stood in quite a different context; it may have referred to $K \tilde{\eta} \varrho v \xi$, the eponymous ancestor of the Attic family of the K $\mathfrak{\eta}$ טurs ${ }^{11}$ ).
9) Both these passages seem to presuppose the reading ${ }^{2} \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$, which

 the ievrac of Zenob. 2. 19 deserves preference as the lectio difficilior. The scholiast on Plato gives $\imath \omega \omega \sigma \nu$.
10) Schwartz, Ps.-Hes. 208 n. 5, gives it to Ceyx. - We may notice in passing a similar utterance by one of the principal heroes of the Kalevala, Lemminkainen. He was greatly offended at not being asked to the wedding of Ilmarinen and the daughter of Louhi, and resolved to go all the same. His mother advised him not to:
"'Go not to the feast at Pohja, To that mansion's drinking-party, For indeed they did not ask you, And 'tis plain they do not want you'.
But Lemminkainen replied,
"Only bad men go for asking,
Uninvited good men dance there".
(26. $85-92$, transl. W.F. Kirby).
ri) Attic-Eleusinian heroes are named in an anonymous verse cited by Herodian, $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i ~ \mu о \vartheta \eta \varrho o v \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ p . ~ І о: ~$

Wilamowitz, Menanders Schiedsgericht, I29, attributed the line to Hesiod, perhaps rightly.

Schwartz, Ps.-Hesiodeia 87 n. 4, says that fr. 156 Rz . cannot come from the K $\eta$ vzos $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu 0 \rho$, because the Homeric scholia deriving from Aristarchus' school cited only the Catalogue under Hesiod's name. But as it is not the original wording of the Alexandrian scholars that we have before us, a certain conclusion is not possible. That the commentators on Homer
 below).

## III. Heracles eats Theiodamas' ox

While there is no direct evidence, we may consider it as a possibility that the famous story of Heracles and Theiodamas occurred in the Wedding of Ceyx.

In most authors, it is connected with the Dryopes, who formerly dwelt in Middle Greece, in the region later known as Doris ${ }^{12}$ ). As Heracles was crossing the Dryopes' territory, he came upon Theiodamas ploughing, killed one of the yoke of oxen and ate it. He took away with him the son of Theiodamas, the young and beautiful Hylas. Then he called on Ceyx. Then he made war upon the Dryopes and expelled them from that region, from whence they went south and settled at Hermione and Asine in the Argolid, at Carystus, and elsewhere ${ }^{13}$ ).

There is an indirect connection between Heracles leaving the Argo, the death of Theiodamas, and the wedding of Ceyx. It is partly given by Apollonius, 1. 1211-19. In this version, Heracles left the ship in Mysia in search of Hylas. Hylas had gone to fetch water for the preparation of Heracles' supper:









In other sources, as we have seen, the expulsion of the Dryopes was associated with a visit to Ceyx. This is not in itself of much significance, for Heracles visited Ceyx on a number of occasions. But it has been convincingly argued by W.S. Barrett ${ }^{14}$ ) that the fragment of Bacchylides quoted by Athenaeus, which provides such a close parallel to the fragment ascribed to the Wedding of Ceyx, came from a paean in which Bacchylides told the story of Heracles' war against the Dryopes and their settlement at Asine (fr. 4 Snell $^{8}$ ).
12) Herodotus 8. 31, Strabo 9. 5. io p. 434.
13) Cf. ps. Apollod. Bibl. ii [153] 7. 7; schol. Ap. Rhod. 1. 1212; Diod. 4, 36. 5-37. I.
14) Hermes $82,1954,421$ ff.

This suggests the possibility that the death of Theiodamas, and the Dryopian war associated with it, occurred in the Wedding of Ceyx. If we take this idea seriously, we must ask ourselves how the Theiodamas episode may have been related in the poem. There seem to be two possibilities; we do not propose to decide between them.
(1) It may have been related in a "flashback". The Hesiodic poet, or one of his characters, may have gone back in time from the Argonauts' expedition to the eating of the ox. For instance, Heracles may have recalled the episode in a speech made at the wedding-feast.
(2) Alternatively, the poet may have placed the encounter with Theiodamas between Heracles' disembarcation from the Argo and his arrival at Trachis ${ }^{15}$ ). This is perhaps suggested by Bacchylides' paean. There Heracles arrives at Ceyx's palace just when a banquet is being prepared; and he utters the same famous sentiment as in the Hesiodic Wedding of Ceyx. There can be little doubt that Bacchylides is consciously following the Hesiodic poem. It may be that he has transferred this scene into a quite different narrative context. But it seems somewhat more likely that the narrative context was similar in the two poems. If so, Heracles may have met Theiodamas and eaten his ox, in the Kท́vros $\gamma$ á $\mu$ os, after leaving Aphetae and before reaching Trachis ${ }^{16}$ ); and then there will have been some reference to the defeat of the Dryopes, either before Heracles' arrival at Trachis or at the end (?) of the poem after the account of the wedding. Bacchylides will not, of course, have followed his model slavishly; he may well have said nothing of the Aphetae episode, and he perhaps avoided specifying the $\theta$ oival of fr. 4.22 as the wed-ding-feast. The intervention of Apollo ( 4 Iff ) is an appropriate motif in a paean that goes on to tell of the establishment of the cult of Apollo Pythaeus at Asine, and is likely to be an innovation by Bacchylides.

[^2]
## IV. Heracles' eating-contest with Lepreus

We must now consider a testimony from a very late and somewhat suspect source, namely the "Mythologiae" of Natalis Comes, a sixteenth-century compiler who credits a number of ancient authors with otherwise unknown fragments and items of information. Some of these doubtless arise from conflation of sources or from false inference ${ }^{17}$ ), but there is a residue that cannot simply be ignored, given the possibility that Natalis knew sources not available to us, e. g. manuscripts, since lost or not yet collated, preserving fuller scholia to some ancient poet. In the course of Mythol. 7. 1, a chapter devoted to Heracles, Natalis relates the story of the hero's encounter with Theiodamas ${ }^{18}$ ). After telling how he consumed the ox, he digresses for a page in order to give other evidence of his enormous appetite. The last item in the digression runs as follows (p. 694, ed. Genav. 1612):

Fama est Herculem in Tripbyliam regionem Eleorum profectum babuisse controversiam de voracitate cum Lepreo Pyrgei filio, ut inquit Hesiodus in Ceycis Nuptiis; atque cum uterque bovem in epulas occidisset, Lepreus nibilo fuit tardior aut imparatior edendo inventus, sed cum post epulas ventum esset ad pugnam ob indignationem aemulae virtutis, Lepreus cecidit ob vim Herculeam.

## Natalis then returns to Theiodamas.

The story of Heracles' eating-contest with Lepreus is known from ancient sources ${ }^{19}$ ), but nowhere else is it associated with Hesiod. It is not prima facie absurd that it should have appeared in the Wedding of Ceyx, a poem which we know to have been concerned with Heracles and especially with Heracles' appetite ${ }^{20}$ ). One can imagine several ways in which it might have been introduced. Heracles might have announced at Ceyx's palace, "I have such a hunger as when I ate a whole ox in contest with Lepreus the son of Pyrgeus in Elis". Or the occasion might have been referred to in a digression at the point where he ate Theiodamas' ox. Or he might have proceeded to Elis after dealing with the Dryopes. This does not exhaust the possibilities.

[^3]The ascription "Hesiodus in Ceycis Nuptiis" appears again on the next page of Natalis' work, where it is correctly attached to the story of the disembarcation from the Argo at Aphetae. It is not impossible, therefore, that the ascription of the eating-contest to our poem is also correct: it is considerably less likely that it is based on guesswork, inference or sheer invention.

## $V$. The riddles at the banquet

We have heard Heracles announce his arrival at the wedding. He is the only guest we are able to name. He seems to have had more to say than the one line. The particle $\delta^{\prime}$ in that line (taken over by Bacchylides) does not necessarily imply that he had said something else beforehand; it may only indicate that he is countering something said to him ${ }^{21}$ ). However, a new fragment of the poem, P. Oxy. 2495 fr. 37, appears to contain direct speech, for $] \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ at the end of line 4 is not likely to be anything but $\tilde{\varepsilon} \sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$ or a compound. The verb suggests a heroic exploit, and as such would issue well from the mouth of Heracles, who was not given to modest reticence about his deeds. Unless his speech ended at that point, it would seem that he went on to pose the riddle or series of riddles in the following lines. It had been surmised long before the publication of the papyrus that they were propounded by someone at the wedding-feast, and not by the poet in his own person ${ }^{22}$ ). Let us now look at the whole fragment ${ }^{23}$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ]ovx []. [ } \\
& \text { ] лоvะovtes[ } \\
& \text { j ov̉ } \gamma \dot{\varrho} \varrho \alpha ้ \tau \varepsilon \varrho ~ \tau \varepsilon \text { [ }
\end{aligned}
$$

2I) Compare the usages in Hes. Th. 549,


And in Op. 454,
(first farmer) $\quad$ ós $\delta o ̀ s ~ x a i ~ a ̉ \mu \alpha \xi \alpha v . ~$

22) Wilamowitz, Hermes 18, 1883, $417 \mathrm{n} .2=\mathrm{Kl}$. Schr. I 132. o; Schwartz 202-3; West, Class. Quart. N.S. II, 1961, 144-5.
23) Mention must be made of another papyrus fragment that may belong here, though we ourselves are unable to extract any help from it. It is P. Oxy. 2495 fr .38 , and Lobel says that it "resembles the left-hand side of fr. $37^{\prime \prime}$.

J $\sigma \omega \sigma \alpha$
 $\tau \varrho i ́ \pi o \delta \alpha ́ \varsigma ~ \tau \varepsilon] ~ \varkappa \alpha \theta \varepsilon ́ \delta \varrho \alpha \varsigma]] ~$
] $\delta^{\circ}$ é $\chi o v$ alıas
J $\omega v$
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 $\sigma \alpha$ West 5 ж $\alpha \theta \varepsilon ́ \delta \varrho \alpha_{\varsigma}$ crossed out, r@a]лє́ $\zeta \alpha \alpha_{\varsigma}$ written above it 7 oi $\delta^{\text {, }}$
 is a correction of something else that ended in ].o, possibly $\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon ์ o v \tau o ~ 9 \delta \dot{\eta}$
 Lobel II vlper]óv West

The words in half brackets in 8-I i are supplied from references in a treatise $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i$ i $\varrho o ́ \pi \omega \nu$, ascribed in most manuscripts to Tryphon, but appearing in the editions of Walz and Spengel ${ }^{24}$ ) under the name of Gregory of Corinth. The available editions of the work are based only on four 16th-century MSS. We are able to offer a text of the passage in question that makes use of older and better sources ${ }^{25}$ ).
We employ the following sigla:
e excerpts found in two MSS. (Brit. Mus. Add. 5118 , s. xiv-xv, and Matritensis 4613 , s. xv), and printed by Hilgard in Gramm. Gr. I 3. ("Scholia in Dionysium Thracem"), pp. 456-62.
L Leidensis Vossianus Gr. Q 20, s. xiii.
$m$ a lost MS. from which are derived Matritensis 72 II (s. xv, copied by Constantine Lascaris) and Parisinus Gr. 255 I (after A.D. 1495).
b a lost MS. from which are derived Bodleianus Barocci 76 (s. xvi) and Parisinus Gr. 2008 (s. xvi).
The stemma is:


[^4]The text of the passage that concerns us (p. 766 Walz, 224 Spengel) runs:








 $\tau \varepsilon \theta v \alpha ́ v \alpha \iota$,

 xúдıxos codd. $\quad \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ́-v ँ \pi \varepsilon \varrho \theta \varepsilon$ ( $=$ Hes. Op. 744) habent eLm, om. b omnia quae sequuntur om. e $\delta a \iota \tau$ òs $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ $\theta i ́ \sigma \eta s$ (sic) Bodl. (et b?): $\delta a \iota \tau o ̀ s$
 жаi ov̉ Palaeocappa à $\zeta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \eta \nu ~ L b: ~ a ̉ \gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \eta \nu ~ M a t r . ~(e t ~ m ?, ~ i n d e:) ~ \alpha v ̉ a \lambda \varepsilon ́ \eta \nu ~$ Par. $255 \mathrm{I} \tau \varepsilon$ add. Bergk $\pi \varrho \tilde{\omega} \tau о \nu \mathrm{~b}: \pi \varrho \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \mathrm{Lm} \sigma \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \varrho \circ \iota \sigma \iota$ Finckh:
 ( $|\mid \xi \varepsilon ́ v o \iota \varsigma$ Bodl.): $\xi v \neq \imath o \iota \varsigma ~ C t a m e r ~ \tau \varepsilon \theta v a ́ v a \iota ~ c o d d . ~(~ \tau \varepsilon \theta v a ̃ v a \iota ~ M a t r):. ~ \tau \varepsilon \theta v a ́-~$
 cappa, inde $\alpha \partial \tilde{\eta} \varphi \theta a \iota$ Ohlert

We have suggested above that P. Oxy. 2495. fr. 37, or the greater part of it, represents a speech by Heracles, and that in line 4 he recalls some past exploit of his. Line 3 seems to fit this assumption well, as the words "For not without..." very probably refer to the assistance of a god in some matter where his assistance was necessary. Lobel rightly remarks that $\alpha \not \tau \varepsilon \varrho \tau \varepsilon$ is an unlikely end to a verse, and that ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \tau \varepsilon \varrho \gamma \varepsilon$ would be a more probable emendation than ${ }^{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \varrho \theta \varepsilon$. He compares O 292 f ,


The change is minimal. But there is an alternative possibility ${ }^{27}$ ):

## $\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \theta \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$

$$
\text { ov̉ } \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \dot{]} \alpha \tau \varrho \tau \varepsilon[v
$$

26) This line (= Hes. Op. 744) is omitted by some of the manuscripts, and we cannot see what it can possibly mean in this place. It must be mentioned, however, that the verse is used in a somewhat enigmatic fashion by Plutarch, Septem sapientium convivium p. 156 E; see also the scholion of Proclus and W.Schultz, Rätsel aus dem hellenischen Kulturkreise (1909/£2) I ino. In the Erga, the preceding verses (742/3) are clearly a riddle. There is a possibility that the line is not to be deleted in Trypho, but is a riddle, to which we fail to find the key.
27) West, Gnomon 35, 1963, 757.

This would be an expression of a common type; compare, e. g., EI85,


W. Bühler, in his note on Moschus, Europa 152 ov̉x $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon i$, observes that "die Wendung kommt fast nur in Reden vor" ${ }^{28}$ ). This is because, when the poet is telling the story himself, he has no hesitation in naming the gods involved in any event and specifying the extent of their interference: it is only the heroes themselves who have to guess what is going on above them. If $\tau \varepsilon[v$ is right, therefore, we have another strong indication of direct speech.

The two preceding lines tell us little. The letter before лоvध́oves in 2 seems to have been $\alpha$ or $\lambda$ ( $\varkappa$ is less probable), and since $-\bar{a} \pi o v \varepsilon ́ o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ and $\bar{a} \pi o v$ éovteऽ (swimming away? unloading?) do not appear very likely, ]ג̃ov éóvers is perhaps the most plausible reading and division. Cf. above, p. 302 n. 7.

In what follows, we are evidently dealing with a chain of riddles. Accumulation of riddles is a very frequent phenomenon in enigma-literature ${ }^{29}$ ). These next few lines of the Wedding of Ceyx seem to have been the most famous part of the poem in antiquity: at least three and probably five ancient authors quote from it directly, and another (Lycophron) apparently alludes to it in a riddling passage of his own.

In line 5 , Lobel has recognized the place referred to in the two passages printed by Rzach as Hes. fr. 157:
 $\tau \varrho \alpha л \varepsilon ́ \zeta \alpha \varsigma ~ \varphi \eta \sigma i ́ v$.

 He suggests the supplement $\left.\tau \varrho i ́ \tau o \delta \alpha \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \tau \varrho \alpha] \pi \varepsilon ́ \zeta \alpha \varsigma{ }^{30}\right)$. This is supported by the fact that Aristophanes produced a similar paradox by speaking of a three-footed tetrapod - tetrapod, $\tau \propto \dot{\pi} \tau \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$, being the ordinary Greek word for a table. Aristoph. fr. 530 Kock:




[^5]Epicharmus conversely introduces a four-legged tripod, fr. 149 Kaibel:




As the Greeks hardly used tables for anything except eating from, we may infer the nature of the context from the one word. The inference is abundantly confirmed by the next lines. Line 6, $1 \delta^{\circ}$ éz $\chi o v$ alıas: "they had ... (epithet?) helpings". This sense of al̃ $\sigma$ is rare; it occurs on an Arcadian and on a Cyprian inscription, while the historian Hegesander knew it as Argive ${ }^{32}$ ). It is found nowhere else in epic, and must have struck the audience as strange; perhaps strange enough to count as a riddle in itself, to solve which it was necessary to reflect that Al $\sigma \alpha$ is equivalent to $M o$ п̃œ ${ }^{33}$ ).

In $8-\mathrm{rl}$ we come to the passage taken by 'Tryphon' as a prime example of $\alpha{ }^{l} \nu \nu \gamma \mu \alpha$. It seems to represent a continuation of the narrative. The meal has now been dispatched.

But here we have a paradox. Having satisfied their hunger, the banqueters, apparently Pelasgians, go on to gather, roast and eat acorns ${ }^{35}$ ). This is a riddle in itself: it apparently hinges on the

[^6]word $\dot{\varepsilon} i ́ \sigma \eta s$, which could be taken in the sense of $\delta \iota x \alpha i \eta s$ : only their lawful appetites were satisfied, they now went on to devour their mother's mother ${ }^{36}$ ).

We have not found any satisfactory explanation of the words
 But the sense of the verse, if Lobel's $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma] i v$ is accepted, must have been something like, "then they brought home ${ }^{37}$ ) their mother's mother [together with her childr]en". That is, they gathered acorns (the acorn being the mother of the oak, and the oak their own mother) and also wood from oak-trees. Next we hear why they gathered acorns: "to die dried and roasted by (for, with) her children" ${ }^{38}$ ). $\sigma \varphi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau \varepsilon ́ \varkappa \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \iota ~ i s ~ a m b i g u o u s: ~ w e ~ c a n-~$ not say with any confidence whether it goes with $\dot{o} \pi \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon$ ह́ $\eta \nu$, or with $\tau \varepsilon \theta v \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ aut, or both; nor whether the children are the logs or sticks of wood, or the flames that these give birth to, or the Pelasgians themselves ${ }^{39}$ ); nor how the dative is to be taken. Perhaps the line was deliberately designed to be applicable not only to the acorns, but also to the wood gathered with them. For it too

[^7]'dies'(is burned), dried and roasted, by its 'children'(the flames) ${ }^{40}$ ). The grammarian's explanation of 'dies' would fit the $\xi v$ ' $\lambda \alpha$ as well as the acorns: they can be said to die, he explains, because they are cuttings from living trees.

Line is apparently ended with a reference to wintry weather. This would not be difficult to fit into a context of fire and feasting. One may be reminded of Xenophanes, fr. 18 Diehl,



The combination is confirmed by a passage of Lycophron which evidently looks back to the celebrated enigma of the Kívros $\alpha$ á $\mu o s$. Lycophron introduces the Arcadian Agapenor thus:



$\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \pi \varrho o ́ \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \varsigma \quad \varphi \gamma{ }^{i} \nu \omega \nu \pi v \varrho \nu \omega \nu$ ỏ $\chi \eta े \nu$
$\sigma \pi \lambda \eta \delta \tilde{\omega} \iota \varkappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \varkappa \varrho о \nu \quad \chi \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \mu \alpha$ $\theta \alpha \lambda \psi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \pi v \varrho o ́ s$.
"The second who comes to the island is a countryman and a landsman, a self-feaster ${ }^{42}$ ) of the children of the oak, of the wolfshaped carvers of the flesh of Nyctimus, those who before the moon was known heated their staple of oaken bread in the ashes of the fire in the height of winter". (Adapted from A.W.Mair's translation.)

[^8]It is probable that another riddling expression attested for the Wedding of Ceyx stood in the neighbourhood of the series of riddles we have been discussing: $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \omega \varrho o \iota$, 'fatherless', cited for its form without a hint of its context by a learned commentator on Homer in P. Oxy. 1087. $50^{43}$ ). 'Fatherless' would be a paradoxical adjective in most contexts, for it would normally be applied only to things that might be expected to have fathers ${ }^{44}$ ). It particularly suits the children of the oak, however ${ }^{45}$ ); and its reference to them is confirmed by Juvenal 6. 5-13 (describing the Saturnia regna):

5 siluestrem montana torum cum sterneret uxor
frondibus et culmo uicinarumque ferarum
pellibus, haut similis tibi, Cynthia, nec tibi, cuius
turbauit nitidos exstinctus passer ocellos, sed potanda ferens infantibus ubera magnis,
ro et saepe horridior glandem ructante marito. quippe aliter tunc orbe nouo caeloque recenti uiuebant homines, qui rupto robore nati compositiue luto nullos babuere parentes.
Among the Hesiodic fragments there are two other verses which might be conjectured to come from riddles. They are not explicitly attested for the Kívxos $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu$ os, but it is not impossible that they stood there together with the other riddles. Both are from Homeric scholia. The first is found in the note on the passage describing how the maids in Alcinous' palace "grind the bright (?) fruit on the mill(s)":

The scholiast suggests that the verse perhaps ought not to be taken at face value. 'Mills' may refer to the maids' laps, on which

[^9]45) West, Class. Quart. 196I, 144.
they turn their spindles; the $\mu \tilde{\eta} \lambda . \%$ жаюло́ऽ may be 'sheep's fruit', fleece, $\mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o$ s: for Hesiod too used the words

in this sense (fr. 264 Rz .), "they spin the wool on their laps" ${ }^{46}$ ).
It is possible that in Hesiod as in Homer the verse referred to Alcinous' maids; we know that he mentioned Alcinous and Arete in some connection (fr. 71 Rz.). But it is certain that its style is griphic, and that it was not just a casual passing narrative detail as in Homer, for the ancient commentator had no doubt what it meant in 'Hesiod'. So it might have been a riddle, followed by its solution.

In the second case the interpretation is more precarious still. Homer has the line
 The A scholia note that Hesiod wrote
 and criticize him on the ground that one does not draw meat 'carefully' off the spit: it is the roasting that is careful ${ }^{47}$ ). A valid point; and the explanation might be that 'Hesiod' meant the line as a riddle, containing some double entendre which we can no longer follow. We have already seen that eating was a theme that occurred in the tiddles of the Kívzos $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu o s$. On the other hand, many may consider it more likely that we are merely dealing with the common type of case in which a formula has been adapted, to the detriment of the sense. Just as such adaptations often involve the transference of an epithet from one noun to another, so here we would have an adverb transferred from one verb to another.

We have found Heracles at the wedding-feast, speaking of exploits of his own, and then going on to a chain of riddles about the Pelasgians of Arcadia. It has often been pointed out that the riddle had a quite different status in early times from that which it enjoys now. It was no mere amusement, but a key to a whole world of hidden reality. Words and names, rightly interpreted,


 (I p. 332. 9 ff Dindorf.)


revealed unexpected relationships between things. The knowledge so gained might be shared with others, but not freely given: each man must guess the riddle for himself, and so leap across into the number of the initiated. The subject-matter of these riddles reflected the preoccupying interests of the society that discovered them. A common theme in them is cosmogony, origins in general ${ }^{48}$ ). The modern equivalent to the Hesiodic riddle about the first men would be the riddles concerning Adam and Eve. Abnormal family relationships are another very com-
 14. 41), to pick an example at random ${ }^{49}$ ); and there are many riddles of this kind in which parents are killed by children, e.g. Anth. Pal. 14. 20, 21, 30, 38.

Banquets and symposia are a regular occasion for riddles; cf. Aristophanes, Wasps 21; Antiphanes fr. 124. I-5; Diphilus fr. 50 ; Plut. Mor. 673 AB; Eustath. in Hom. p. 1926. s7. Weddingfeasts are no exception. There is the well-known riddle propounded by Samson at his own wedding-feast ${ }^{50}$ ); and there are many German riddle-poems composed at weddings ${ }^{51}$ ). The earlier state of things may have been that the bride would become the wife of the suitor only when he succeeded in solving the riddle ${ }^{52}$ ). So the riddles at the wedding of Ceyx represent an ancient and widespread custom.

[^10]This is as far as the fragments of the poem take us: far enough to see that it was a curious and interesting little work, and one about which more new evidence is devoutly to be wished.

Köln
Oxford

Reinhold Merkelbach Martin West

## DER NAME DER GƠT'TIN HERA

Unter dem Titel „Hera und Heros" (Rhein. Mus., Bd. 104, 1961, p. 302 ff) habe ich in einem längeren Aufsatz gegen die alte, auf der bekannten elischen Nennung von ${ }^{\text {e }} \bar{E} \varrho F \alpha o ̄ o \iota ~ b a s i e r e n d e n, ~$ aber durch die Texte von Linear-B unwahrscheinlich gemachte Ableitung der Wörter Hera und Heros vom selben Wortstamm wie lat. servare ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} H \varrho \alpha<{ }^{\prime \prime} H \varrho F \alpha\right)$ Stellung genommen; anstatt der nun unhaltbaren Etymologie wurde die Ableitung von ${ }_{i} i \bar{e} r$ aufgenommen, der Name der Göttin ( ${ }^{\prime} H \varrho \alpha<*_{i}{ }_{i} r-a$ ) als ,,die (zur Ehe) Reife" verstanden und als möglichst klar umrissene Gestalt in das soziologische Paradigma ${ }^{1}$ ) eingeordnet. Der ohnehin schon über die für einen Herausgeber zumutbare Grenze angewachsene Umfang von 54 Seiten ließ es mir damals angezeigt erscheinen, die Seitenzahl auch nicht um ein Geringes zu vermehren, sondern lieber vorläufig auf die Diskussion von epigraphischem Material aus Argos zu verzichten. Dies soll hier nachgetragen werden.
A.H.Smith ${ }^{2}$ ) bespricht in einer kurzen Studie u.a. ein Gefäß, das sich im Britischen Museum befindet und die Inschrift
 Anfangsaspiration im Worte "H@aऽ abgesehen ${ }^{3}$ ), sicher ergänzt. Auch leuchtet die dort gegebene Datierung des Gefäßes, welche etwa das Jahr 440 v. Chr. nennt, ein ${ }^{4}$ ). Was die Inschrift auf diesem Siegespreis für unsere Frage zu bedeuten hat, liegt nun auf

1) Zur Beziehung zu anderen Paradigmata vgl. dort bes. p. 355 .
2) A.H.Smith, ,,The tomb of Aspasia", in The Journal of Hellenic Studies, Bd. 46 (1926), p. 253 ff, bes. 256.
3),,The initial aspirate is quite uncertain", Smith, a.a.O. p. 256.
3) Smith, a.a.O. p. 256.

[^0]:    1) Rzach, RE VIII 1207.
    2) Wilamowitz, Hermes 18, 1883, 417 n. $2=$ Kl. Schr. I 132, o; Hermes 40, 1905, $123=\mathrm{Kl}$. Schr. IV 176; Die Heimkehr des Odysseus (1927) 80, r; doxography in J. Schwartz, Pseudo-Hesiodeia 200.
    3) The Aspis is another poem that has wrongly been regarded as an interpolation into the Catalogue. We are told that lines $\mathrm{I}-56$ stood in the Catalogue; it follows that the remaining 424 lines did not. No-one ever put them into the Catalogue; on the contrary, the Alcmena-ehoia was taken out of it to make a preface for the Cycnus-poem.
[^1]:    7) Despite the possibility of supplementing P. Oxy. 2495 fr. 37.2 to mean "when we were in the gulf of Pagasae", - xatà xó] גлоv éovt
    8) It is unlikely that it began earlier still, and that any of the other Hesiodic testimonia relating to the Argonauts' voyage should be placed here (frr. 50, 63, 64 Rz .).
[^2]:    15) Obviously Hylas cannot have appeared in such a version, at any rate not as son of Theiodamas. But he is not in any case attested for any version in which Heracles left the ship at Aphetae.
    16) The encounter with Theiodamas is not attested for Bacchylides, but it is a traditional element in the Dryopian legend, and is not likely to have been omitted.
[^3]:    17) For a critical evaluation of some of the testimonies see Naeke, Opuscula II (1845) 218-25; Roos, Mnemosyne 1917, 69-77.
    18) He places Theiodamas at Lindos: cf. Philostr. imag. 2. 24; Amm. Marc. 22. 12. 4; Pfeiffer, Kallimachos-Studien 90 ff.
    19) Zenodotus 19 F r ; schol. Call. hymn. 1. 39; Paus. 5. 5. 4; Ael. V.H. т. 24; Eustath. in Hom. p. 1523.
    20) Natalis is hardly likely to have discovered this for himself by collecting and comparing the fragments of the poem.
[^4]:    24) Walz, Rhetores Graeci VIII $763-78$; Spengel, Rhetores Graeci III 215-26.
    25) I shall be discussing the transmission of the work in more detail in Vass. Quart. 1965. M.W.
[^5]:    28) Die Europa des Moschos, Hermes Einzelschriften, Heft 13 (1960), 190.
    29) We may recall the Technopaegnia, Lycophron's Alexandra, the riddling poems of the Edda, Widsith, the Traugemundslied.
    30) $x \alpha \theta \varepsilon ́ \delta \varrho \alpha \varsigma$ seems to have been a mere mistake.
[^6]:    31) $\tau 0 \iota<o \tilde{\tau} \tau o v>$ West. - A word like $\tau \varrho \ell \pi o v \varsigma$ lent itself to use in riddles.
     $\tau \varepsilon$ Ĩov; (Iambl. V. P. 82). The answer, $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha \varkappa \tau v$ 's, is a further riddle, to which the solution may have been r@liovs. The tripod is a tetrahedron: the tetrahedron is a symbol of the $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varrho a x \tau v{ }^{\prime}$.
    32) IG V 2.40 (Tegea); Hoffmann, Gr. Dialekte I, no. 148; Hegesander ap. Athen. $365 \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{fr} .31$ Müller FHG IV 419.
    33) Cf. $\mu$ oĩ@al for 'helpings of meat' in Hes. Th. s44. By the early third century even this was unfamiliar: Straton puts it in the mouth of his Homerizing cook (Page, Greek Literary Papyri no. 57, line 42).
    34) The text is somewhat uncertain; cf. the apparatus above. סautos $\varepsilon \mathcal{E} i \sigma \eta s$ is a Homeric phrase ( 12 times), and in I 225 we actually have $\delta \alpha u \tau \dot{s}$ $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \eta S$ in the same place in the verse. But there $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ is legitimately used; here, incomplete as the text of the following lines is, it is hard to see how the particle is justified. $\delta^{3}$ av̉zoi $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu ~ \varkappa \nu i ́ \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ a p p e a r s ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~ c o n j e c t u r e ~ i n s p i r e d ~$ by the unmetrical $\delta \alpha \iota \tau o \grave{c} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\nu} \tau \varepsilon \theta \varepsilon i \sigma \eta s$. All that can be said in its favour is that xví $\eta$ is a word that might have more than one sense (fat, or smell), and might therefore be involved in a riddle. But it leaves the line without a valid caesura, and one cannot guess who av̉ioí are contrasted with. Nauck somewhat boldly conjectured $\delta \alpha \iota \tau o ̀ s ~ \mu \varepsilon v o \varepsilon \iota \varkappa \varepsilon ́ o s . ~$
    35) West, Class. Quart. N.S. II, 196I, 142-45. The argument for this interpretation of the riddle need not be repeated here.
[^7]:    36) West, Gnomon 35, 1963, 757. For cannibalism as the antithesis of $\delta i x \eta$, cf. Hes. Op. 276-8, тóv $\delta \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \nu \theta \varrho \omega ́ \pi о \iota \sigma \iota ~ \nu o ́ \mu о \nu ~ \delta \iota \varepsilon ́ \tau \alpha \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon ~ K \varrho о \nu i ́ \omega \nu$,
    
    
    37) This is an attempt at a non-committal translation of ${ }_{\alpha}$ 人povto. The middle of $\alpha \gamma \omega$ could have more than one sense; one particularly paradoxical sense that might well occur to the listener would be 'they married'.
    38) This assumes that the grammarian's words $\tau \dot{o} ~ \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon \theta v a ́ v \alpha \iota, ~ \varkappa \alpha \theta \dot{d} . .$. corresponded to an infinitive in the original. An infinitive expressing purpose suits the requirements of the context very well, and accounts for ${ }^{\circ} \pi \tau \alpha-$ $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \eta \nu$, which cannot refer to the state of the acorns when gathered.

    Bergk's addition of $\tau \varepsilon$ before $x a i$ is probable, though for $x a i$ unshortened in hiatus in the biceps cf. N 316 (a verse omitted by some witnesses), $\Omega 641$, h. Dem. 424, h. Aphr. 13, Hes. Th. 147 and 250 codd., pap. N 20 Merk.; in later verse, Arat. 534, and a number of times in the Orphic hymns (Quandt, ed. p. 41*) and Christian epigrams (Anth. Pal. I 8. 5, 10. $52,38.1$, 40.1 , 53.1 , 9 I. 1). In some of these places, but not in all, it is possible to add $\tau \varepsilon$.

    His change of $\tau \varepsilon \theta v a ́ v a \iota$ is less necessary; Homer has $\tau \varepsilon \theta v a ́ \mu \varepsilon v a \iota$ and $\tau \varepsilon \theta v \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \varepsilon v$, but $\tau \varepsilon \theta v a ́ v a \iota$ is recorded as early as Semonides fr. 3 Diehl. It would of course have to be followed by a vowel. The Matritensis 7211 (Lascaris) gives $\tau \varepsilon \theta v a ̃ v a \iota$, a doubtful form offered by MSS. in Aesch. Ag. 539.
     is obscure, and we have no guarantee that it was based on an explanation in the poem itself. Cramer's $\xi$ v́doıs (The Philological Museum 2, 1833, 434) is very attractive. $\varepsilon$ eqvoro should perhaps be changed (with Kloucek) to
    

[^8]:    
    
    
    
     $\delta \iota \varepsilon ́ \beta a \lambda \varepsilon \pi \varrho \grave{\varrho} \tau \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta \varrho \tilde{\omega} \tau \nu$. If this is not a mere interpretation of the fragment we are dealing with, it is probable that it belongs in the same context. There is a similar riddle in the Rgveda, X 79. 4: "This holy Law I tell you, Earth and Heaven: the Infant at his birth devours his Parents". (Transl. R.T.H. Griffith.) The infant is Agni: Fire. For Germanic parallels see K. Ohlert, Philologus 1897, 612 f .

    4I) We may compare Verg. ecl. 10. 20 widus biberna venit de glande Menalcas, which probably means: "Menalcas came wet from eating acorns in wintry weather". He is an Arcadian; Arcadians eat acorns, Herodotus I 66.
    42) That is, 'feasting on his relatives'. The word is formed like avivoqóvos etc.

    The scholiast on this line remarks, inter alia, that Agapenor was according to some authors the son of Dryops, in other words of 'Oakman'. Could there have been any connection between the Dryopes in the Theiodamas episode and the acorns in the riddle?

[^9]:    43) Fr. $159 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{Rz}.{ }^{3}$.
    44) $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \varrho$ is found elsewhere in $\gamma \varrho i ̋ \varphi o \iota$. In the Theocritean Syrinx is, Pan is called $\varkappa \lambda \omega \pi о \pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \varrho$ ảлá $\tau \omega \varrho$, because his mother Penelope had slept with all her suitors, and no-one could say who the actual father was, or because the father was Nobody = Odysseus; while in Dosiadas' Altar 7, Hephaestus is $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \varrho$, Hera having born him ov̉ $\varphi \iota \lambda o ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota \mu \iota \gamma \varepsilon і ̈ \sigma \alpha$, Hes. Th. 927. In Orph. hymn. 10. 10, Physis is addressed as av̉zoлá $\omega \omega \varrho$ ảлát $\omega$; cf. Nonn. D. 4I. 53. In Pap. Graec. Mag. V 282 the $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha d^{\prime} \tau \omega$ is young Horus. Synes. hymn. 3. 146 (r. 146 Terzaghi) has av̉zoлát $\omega \varrho$ л@олátш@ áлátce. The line refers to the first god, who created himself (he is addressed avizo$\gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \theta \lambda \varepsilon$ in the Magic Papyri), who consequently has no father, and from whom, by emanation, every other being came into existence.
[^10]:    48) Cf. Huizinga, Homo Ludens (1939) 17I ff; Jolles, Einfache Formen (1930) 138.
    49) There is a typical example in the Historia Apollonii regis Tyri. The riddle refers to the circumstance that King Antiochus of Tyre had violated his own daughter. Their son was apparently Apollonius, the hero of the novel. The riddle has an allegorical meaning, and applies to the soul's fall into the material world in consequence of the divine "father's" passion for his own creation. With this riddle, the whole eschatology of the Mysteries could be worked out: a characteristic case of a riddle of initiation. Cf. Merkelbach, Roman und Mysterium 16if, 168.
    50) Judges xiv 12 ff . It is interesting to note that 'Choeroboscus' $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i$ $\tau \varrho о ́ \pi \omega \nu$, Rhet. Gr. III 293 Sp . (a work which is in fact a mere re-working of that of 'Tryphon', with many of the classical examples replaced by Christian ones) substitutes Samson's riddle for that of the Wedding of Ceyx. A pity; for he might have given us a better text.

    5I) Cf. Hippe, Festschr. f. Theodor Siebs (Germanistische Abhandlungen, hrsg. von Weinhold-Vogt-Steller, Heft 67, Breslau 1933), 42 I-444, with literature and parallels.
    52) The most famous example is Gozzi's (and Schiller's) Turandot. For a very illuminating story, see Frobenius, Spielmannsgeschichten aus der Sahel (1921) 79ff. - The rôles may be changed: The girl must follow a suitor, whose riddle she or her relatives were not able to solve.

